Annex 7

to the Guidelines for the applicants of the call “Implementation of the Model of Well-being Advisers“ under the programme “Health“ of the European Economic Area financial mechanism 2014-2021

**METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT BENEFITS AND QUALITY**

1. **General information about the application:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Application code |  |
| Application name |  |
| Forename, surname or name of the Applicant |  |

1. **Evaluation criteria:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ser. No | Special Project Selection Criteria |  | Evaluation scale | Evaluator score | Information source |
| Key aspects of evaluation |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Maximum score which can be given | 100 |  |  |
| 1. | Increasing the publicity and interest of service recipients | Priority is given to applicants which projects activities intend to increase the publicity of services and the interest of the service recipients (including the organization of a publicity campaign that meets the needs of the target group).  20 points – if the Project provides for 5 and more different activities of publicity of services and / or increase the interest of service recipients.  15 points – if the Project provides for 3 to 4 different activities of publicity of services and / or increase the interest of service recipients.  10 points – if the Project provides for 1 to 2 different activities of publicity of services and / or increase the interest of service recipients. | 20  15  10 |  | Application and annex to the application with information on activities which increase the interest of service recipients |
| 2. | Cooperation with occupation promoting institutions and organizations1 | Priority is given to projects that cooperate and encourage the involvement of service recipients in: activities carried out by institutions that organize 1) social security and labour; 2) volunteering; 3) physical activity and sports; 4) art, folk art or activities carried out by other institutions organizing employment-promoting activities, by ensuring the confidentiality of visits by an individual to a Well-being Adviser (as required).  20 points – if the project is to be implemented in cooperation with institutions / organizations operating in 4 or more areas.  15 points – if the project is to be implemented in cooperation with institutions / organizations operating in 3 selected areas.  10 points – if the project is to be implemented in cooperation with institutions / organizations operating in 2 selected areas.  5 points – if the project is to be implemented together with the selected institution / organization operating in 1 area. | 20  15  10  5 |  | Application and at least one of the following documents:  Cooperation agreement, letter of intent |
| 3. | Demand for services | Priority is given to Projects that provide for provision of services in the municipality (municipalities) with a higher demand for the services in terms of assessment of incidence of depression and anxiety, and of the number of psychologist positions occupied (see the Methodology for demand calculation, and Municipal evaluation tables). |  |  | Application |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 points – Pagėgiai municipality; Rietavas district municipality; Joniškis district municipality.; Molėtai district municipality; Birštonas district municipality; Skuodas district municipality; Šilutė district municipality; Jurbarkas district municipality.  Palanga district municipality; Radviliškis district municipality; Plungė district municipality; Telšiai district municipality; Širvintos district municipality; Pasvalys district municipality; Tauragė district municipality; | 30 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25 points – Vilkaviškis district municipality; Prienai district municipality; Kazlų Rūda district municipality; Kalvarijos municipality; Kaišiadorys district municipality; Biržai district; Pakruojis district municipality; Šakiai district municipality; Kretinga district municipality; Klaipėda district municipality; Panevėžys district municipality; Mažeikiai district municipality; Šiauliai district, Panevėžys city municipality; Akmenė district municipality; | 25 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 points – Šilalė district municipality; Varėna district municipality, Marijampolė municipality; Šiauliai city municipality; Kėdainiai district municipality; Kupiškis district municipality; Raseiniai district municipality; Šalčininkai district municipality; Kaunas city municipality; Klaipėda city municipality; Lazdijai district municipality; Jonava district municipality; Zarasai district municipality; Kelmė district municipality; Kaunas district municipality; | 20 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 15 points – Ukmergė district municipality; Elektrėnai district municipality; Alytus city municipality; Ignalina district municipality; Vilnius district municipality; Anykščiai district municipality, Neringa district municipality; Alytus district municipality; Utena district municipality; Švenčionys district municipality; Trakai district municipality; Rokiškis district municipality; Druskininkai district municipality; Visaginas district municipality, Vilnius city municipality; | 15 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Availability of services | Priority is given to applicants whose projects are implemented in weak territories and in territories with a set of social indicators of moderate weakness. 2  *30 points –* if the Project is planned to be implemented in a municipality that is classified as a weak average territory: *Ignalina district municipality, Jurbarkas district municipality, Lazdijai district municipality, Pagėgiai municipality, Rokiškis district municipality, Skuodas district municipality, Visaginas municipality.*    *20 points –* if the Project is planned to be implemented in a municipality that is classified as an average territory: *Akmenė district municipality, Alytus city municipality, Alytus district municipality, Anykščiai district municipality, Birštonas municipality, Biržai district municipality, Druskininkai municipality, Joniškis district municipality, Kalvarija municipality, Kazlų Rūda municipality, Kėdainiai district municipality, Kelmė district municipality, Kupiškis district municipality, Mažeikiai district municipality., Pakruojis district municipality, Pasvalys district municipality, Radviliškis district municipality, Raseiniai district municipality, Šakiai district municipality, Šilutė district municipality, Švenčionys district municipality, Tauragės district municipality, Telšiai district municipality, Ukmergė district municipality, Varėna district municipality, Vilkaviškis district municipality, Zarasai district municipality.* | 30  20 |  | Application |
| TOTAL SCORE AWARDED | | |  | |  |
| 1 Cooperation with organizations working on the principles of volunteering, institutions organizing art, folk art or other similar activities, social service providers, employment service, institutions providing physical activity and sports services, and non-governmental organizations promotes the mutual exchange of information; involvement of institutions in expanding the services of Well-being Advisers in the region as well as in facilitating the involvement of recipients of the services of Well-being Advisers in the activities carried out by institutions.  2 Based on the Master Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania approved by the Resolution No IX-1154 of the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania of 29 October 2002 on the Master Plan of the Territory of the Republic of Lithuania. Information is publicly available at: <http://www.bendrasisplanas.lt/interaktyvus-duomenys/>. Municipalities are evaluated according to a set of social indicators. The evaluation is based on the information provided on the closing date for the submission of applications. | | | | | |

If the project is planned to be implemented with a partner, the evaluation is carried out according to the municipality that meets the least criteria.

**METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING DEMAND FOR THE SERVICES IN MUNICIPALITIES**

The objective of the measure is to help individuals who encounter the first symptoms of possible depression or anxiety disorder thus preventing the development of these diseases. The measure also aims to increase access to health promotion services. Therefore, the demand for Well-being Advisers in municipalities was measured against three criteria:

1. Priority is given to municipalities with the highest **incidence** **of depression** in 2017, 2018, 2019 (indicator / 100,000 inhabitants (ICD-10 F30-F32)[[1]](#footnote-2);
2. Priority is given to municipalities with the highest **incidence** **of anxiety disorders** in 2017, 2018, 2019 (indicator / 100,000 inhabitants (ICD-10 F40-F42)1;
3. Priority is given to municipalities with the lowest **number of psychologist positions occupied** in 2017, 2018, 2019 (**in public and private institutions**; indicator / 100,000 inhabitants)1.

Data from the last three years is evaluated - averages of the data for the years 2017, 2018, 2019 of all 3 indicators are calculated.

**Calculation of intermediate scores:**

Intermediate scores for incidence of depression indicators are calculated by ranking municipalities: the municipality with the highest incidence of depression based on the average of the last 3 years has a score of 60 points; the municipality which is the second in Lithuania by incidence of depression - a score of 59 points; the municipality which is the third by incidence of depression - a score of 58 points; and so on. The municipality with the lowest incidence of depression has a score of 1 point.

Intermediate scores for incidence of anxiety disorders indicators are calculated by ranking municipalities: the municipality with the highest incidence of anxiety disorders based on the average of the last 3 years has a score of 60 points; the municipality which is the second in Lithuania by incidence of anxiety disorders - a score of 59 points; the municipality which is the third by incidence of anxiety disorders - a score of 58 points; and so on. The municipality with the lowest incidence of depression has a score of 1 point.

The intermediate score for the number of psychologist positions occupied is calculated as follows: the municipality with the least number of psychologist positions occupied based on the average of the last 3 years (indicator / 100,000 inhabitants) has a score of 60 points. The municipality with the highest number of psychologist positions occupied (indicator / 100,000 inhabitants) has a score of 1 point.

The intermediate scores of each municipality are multiplied by weighting factors:

1. incidence of depression – 0.4;
2. incidence of anxiety disorder – 0.4;
3. number of psychologist positions occupied – 0.2.

Limitation of indicators and justification of weighting factors:

The incidence indicator is the only and most reliable way to identify which municipalities have an extremely high number of individuals with depression or anxiety. Therefore, this criterion is given a higher weighting factor of 0.8 (0.4 for each indicator).

The number of psychologist positions occupied (100,000 of inhabitants) may be inaccurate because private institutions provide the number of natural persons working as psychologists in the municipality rather than the number of positions occupied. The number of positions occupied does not necessarily indicate the real availability of the service. For this reason, a factor of 0.2 is assigned to this indicator.

**The final score is calculated according to the formula:** 0.4 \* intermediate score of the municipality (anxiety disorders) + 0.4 \* intermediate score of the municipality (depression) + 0.2 \* intermediate score of the municipality (number of psychologist positions).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Incidence of anxiety disorders (ICD-10 F40-F43)** | | |  | | | | | | | | |
| **Municipality** |  | **Indicator per 100,000 inhabitants** | **Indicator per 100,000 inhabitants** | **Indicator per 100,000 inhabitants** | **Average – amount of the years 2017, 2018, 2019 divided by three** |  | **Ranked average - points awarded (listed by position)** | | |  | **Ranked average - points awarded (listed alphabetically)** | | |
|  |  | **2017** | **2018** | **2019** |  | **Points** | **Municipality** | **Average** |  | **Points** | **Municipality** | **Average** |
| Akmenės r. |  | 612,8 | 1440,7 | 1293 | 1115,50 |  | **60** | Birštonas | 2902 |  | **41** | Akmenės r. | 1116 |
| Alytaus r. |  | 440,7 | 497,8 | 618,8 | 519,10 |  | **59** | Molėtų r. sav. | 2823 |  | **9** | Alytaus r. | 519 |
| Alytus |  | 575,1 | 598,3 | 630 | 601,13 |  | **58** | Palanga | 2171 |  | **14** | Alytus | 601 |
| Anykščių r. |  | 468 | 540,3 | 806,2 | 604,83 |  | **57** | Širvintų r. sav. | 2006 |  | **15** | Anykščių r. | 605 |
| Birštonas |  | 2707,2 | 3065,8 | 2932,9 | 2901,97 |  | **56** | Pagėgių sav. | 1903 |  | **60** | Birštonas | 2902 |
| Biržų r. |  | 611,3 | 1512,2 | 1194,1 | 1105,87 |  | **55** | Varėnos r. sav. | 1688 |  | **40** | Biržų r. | 1106 |
| Druskininkai |  | 196,7 | 364,4 | 1221,4 | 594,17 |  | **54** | Panevėžys | 1628 |  | **12** | Druskininkai | 594 |
| Elektrėnų sav. |  | 863,3 | 1020,9 | 839,1 | 907,77 |  | **53** | Šakių r. sav. | 1618 |  | **32** | Elektrėnų sav. | 908 |
| Ignalinos r. sav. |  | 275,1 | 469,7 | 703,1 | 482,63 |  | **52** | Joniškio r. sav. | 1525 |  | **7** | Ignalinos r. sav. | 483 |
| Jonavos r. sav. |  | 512,9 | 965,5 | 951,9 | 810,10 |  | **51** | Radviliškio r. sav. | 1490 |  | **27** | Jonavos r. sav. | 810 |
| Joniškio r. sav. |  | 781,3 | 1728,4 | 2064,8 | 1524,83 |  | **50** | Jurbarko r. sav. | 1471 |  | **52** | Joniškio r. sav. | 1525 |
| Jurbarko r. sav. |  | 1037 | 1576,9 | 1797,7 | 1470,53 |  | **49** | Šilutės r. sav. | 1250 |  | **50** | Jurbarko r. sav. | 1471 |
| Kaišiadorių r. sav. |  | 716,9 | 977,4 | 740,4 | 811,57 |  | **48** | Panevėžio r. sav. | 1205 |  | **28** | Kaišiadorių r. sav. | 812 |
| Kalvarijos sav. |  | 549,4 | 659,9 | 853,3 | 687,53 |  | **47** | Tauragės r. sav. | 1180 |  | **17** | Kalvarijos sav. | 688 |
| Kaunas |  | 1089,7 | 1390,7 | 1053,3 | 1177,90 |  | **46** | Kaunas | 1178 |  | **46** | Kaunas | 1178 |
| Kauno r. sav. |  | 685,8 | 839,4 | 808,2 | 777,80 |  | **45** | Pasvalio r. sav. | 1170 |  | **21** | Kauno r. sav. | 778 |
| Kazlų rūda |  | 619,5 | 756,8 | 770,8 | 715,70 |  | **44** | Šiauliai | 1160 |  | **18** | Kazlų rūda | 716 |
| Kėdainių r. sav. |  | 764 | 1115,7 | 1009,4 | 963,03 |  | **43** | Rietavo sav. | 1142 |  | **34** | Kėdainių r. sav. | 963 |
| Kelmės r. sav. |  | 546,6 | 848,5 | 1066,1 | 820,40 |  | **42** | Skuodo r. sav. | 1121 |  | **29** | Kelmės r. sav. | 820 |
| Klaipėda |  | 491 | 756,1 | 927,2 | 724,77 |  | **41** | Akmenės r. | 1116 |  | **19** | Klaipėda | 725 |
| Klaipėdos r. sav. |  | 632 | 848,4 | 895,7 | 792,03 |  | **40** | Biržų r. | 1106 |  | **22** | Klaipėdos r. sav. | 792 |
| Kretingos r. sav. |  | 956,8 | 799,8 | 1024 | 926,87 |  | **39** | Raseinių r. sav. | 1085 |  | **33** | Kretingos r. sav. | 927 |
| Kupiškio r. sav. |  | 512 | 543,5 | 1322,8 | 792,77 |  | **38** | Plungės r. sav. | 1081 |  | **23** | Kupiškio r. sav. | 793 |
| Lazdijų r. sav. |  | 328,7 | 412,6 | 507,8 | 416,37 |  | **37** | Mažeikių r. sav. | 1081 |  | **3** | Lazdijų r. sav. | 416 |
| Marijampolės sav. |  | 574,5 | 609,1 | 684,2 | 622,60 |  | **36** | Vilkaviškio r. sav. | 1036 |  | **16** | Marijampolės sav. | 623 |
| Mažeikių r. sav. |  | 928,1 | 1101,2 | 1213 | 1080,77 |  | **35** | Telšių r. sav. | 1030 |  | **37** | Mažeikių r. sav. | 1081 |
| Molėtų r. sav. |  | 2537 | 2646,5 | 3284,3 | 2822,60 |  | **34** | Kėdainių r. sav. | 963 |  | **59** | Molėtų r. sav. | 2823 |
| Neringa |  | 284,8 | 449,2 | 544 | 426,00 |  | **33** | Kretingos r. sav. | 927 |  | **5** | Neringa | 426 |
| Pagėgių sav. |  | 1963,9 | 1695,4 | 2050,2 | 1903,17 |  | **32** | Elektrėnų sav. | 908 |  | **56** | Pagėgių sav. | 1903 |
| Pakruojo r. sav. |  | 637,3 | 906,3 | 1061,6 | 868,40 |  | **31** | Pakruojo r. sav. | 868 |  | **31** | Pakruojo r. sav. | 868 |
| Palanga |  | 1537,5 | 2396,5 | 2580,3 | 2171,43 |  | **30** | Ukmergės r. sav. | 859 |  | **58** | Palanga | 2171 |
| Panevėžio r. sav. |  | 939,7 | 1250,4 | 1424,3 | 1204,80 |  | **29** | Kelmės r. sav. | 820 |  | **48** | Panevėžio r. sav. | 1205 |
| Panevėžys |  | 1340,9 | 1753 | 1789,3 | 1627,73 |  | **28** | Kaišiadorių r. sav. | 812 |  | **54** | Panevėžys | 1628 |
| Pasvalio r. sav. |  | 963,8 | 1229,3 | 1315,8 | 1169,63 |  | **27** | Jonavos r. sav. | 810 |  | **45** | Pasvalio r. sav. | 1170 |
| Plungės r. sav. |  | 710,1 | 1468,9 | 1065,3 | 1081,43 |  | **26** | Šalčininkų r. sav. | 805 |  | **38** | Plungės r. sav. | 1081 |
| Prienai |  | 652,8 | 760,4 | 982,3 | 798,50 |  | **25** | Šiaulių r. sav. | 803 |  | **24** | Prienai | 799 |
| Radviliškio r. sav. |  | 1230,9 | 1726,9 | 1511,6 | 1489,80 |  | **24** | Prienai | 799 |  | **51** | Radviliškio r. sav. | 1490 |
| Raseinių r. sav. |  | 982,2 | 1157,8 | 1114,8 | 1084,93 |  | **23** | Kupiškio r. sav. | 793 |  | **39** | Raseinių r. sav. | 1085 |
| Rietavo sav. |  | 930 | 1364,1 | 1131,3 | 1141,80 |  | **22** | Klaipėdos r. sav. | 792 |  | **43** | Rietavo sav. | 1142 |
| Rokiškio r. sav. |  | 463,9 | 601,4 | 728,9 | 598,07 |  | **21** | Kauno r. sav. | 778 |  | **13** | Rokiškio r. sav. | 598 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Skuodo r. sav. |  | 922,5 | 1347 | 1092,8 | 1120,77 |  | **20** | Zarasų r. sav. | 765 |  | **42** | Skuodo r. sav. | 1121 |
| Šakių r. sav. |  | 1130,3 | 1668,5 | 2053,7 | 1617,50 |  | **19** | Klaipėda | 725 |  | **53** | Šakių r. sav. | 1618 |
| Šalčininkų r. sav. |  | 659,8 | 712,7 | 1043 | 805,17 |  | **18** | Kazlų rūda | 716 |  | **26** | Šalčininkų r. sav. | 805 |
| Šiauliai |  | 837,5 | 1357,2 | 1285,4 | 1160,03 |  | **17** | Kalvarijos sav. | 688 |  | **44** | Šiauliai | 1160 |
| Šiaulių r. sav. |  | 597,7 | 851,1 | 959,6 | 802,80 |  | **16** | Marijampolės sav. | 623 |  | **25** | Šiaulių r. sav. | 803 |
| Šilalės r. sav. |  | 362,9 | 439,9 | 970,5 | 591,10 |  | **15** | Anykščių r. | 605 |  | **11** | Šilalės r. sav. | 591 |
| Šilutės r. sav. |  | 1032,4 | 1260,5 | 1458,2 | 1250,37 |  | **14** | Alytus | 601 |  | **49** | Šilutės r. sav. | 1250 |
| Širvintų r. sav. |  | 948 | 2690,4 | 2379,5 | 2005,97 |  | **13** | Rokiškio r. sav. | 598 |  | **57** | Širvintų r. sav. | 2006 |
| Švenčionių r. sav. |  | 363,3 | 499,2 | 548,3 | 470,27 |  | **12** | Druskininkai | 594 |  | **6** | Švenčionių r. sav. | 470 |
| Tauragės r. sav. |  | 799 | 1214,9 | 1527,1 | 1180,33 |  | **11** | Šilalės r. sav. | 591 |  | **47** | Tauragės r. sav. | 1180 |
| Telšių r. sav. |  | 607,7 | 1263,5 | 1217,3 | 1029,50 |  | **10** | Utenos r. sav. | 541 |  | **35** | Telšių r. sav. | 1030 |
| Trakų r. sav. |  | 374,3 | 384,3 | 463,9 | 407,50 |  | **9** | Alytaus r. | 519 |  | **2** | Trakų r. sav. | 408 |
| Ukmergės r. sav. |  | 674,9 | 758,4 | 1142,3 | 858,53 |  | **8** | Vilniaus m. sav. | 494 |  | **30** | Ukmergės r. sav. | 859 |
| Utenos r. sav. |  | 349,2 | 485,7 | 788 | 540,97 |  | **7** | Ignalinos r. sav. | 483 |  | **10** | Utenos r. sav. | 541 |
| Varėnos r. sav. |  | 1259 | 2151,5 | 1652,4 | 1687,63 |  | **6** | Švenčionių r. sav. | 470 |  | **55** | Varėnos r. sav. | 1688 |
| Vilkaviškio r. sav. |  | 418,6 | 1268,5 | 1420,4 | 1035,83 |  | **5** | Neringa | 426 |  | **36** | Vilkaviškio r. sav. | 1036 |
| Vilniaus m. sav. |  | 412,7 | 514,9 | 553,9 | 493,83 |  | **4** | Vilniaus r. sav. | 425 |  | **8** | Vilniaus m. sav. | 494 |
| Vilniaus r. sav. |  | 431,1 | 432,8 | 410,9 | 424,93 |  | **3** | Lazdijų r. sav. | 416 |  | **4** | Vilniaus r. sav. | 425 |
| Visaginas |  | 174,3 | 91,6 | 147,3 | 137,73 |  | **2** | Trakų r. sav. | 408 |  | **1** | Visaginas | 138 |
| Zarasų r. sav. |  | 584,2 | 950,2 | 761,9 | 765,43 |  | **1** | Visaginas | 138 |  | **20** | Zarasų r. sav. | 765 |

1. Data source - Institute of Hygiene, Health Information Centre. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)